

1
2
3
4
5
6
7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
8 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
9 AT TACOMA

10 LENIER AYERS,

11 Plaintiff,

12 v.

13 HENRI RICHARDS, *et al.*,

14 Defendants.

Case No. C08-5541 RJB/KLS

ORDER DENYING
PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR
EXTENSION OF TIME TO FILE
RESPONSE TO DEFENDANTS'
ANSWER

15 Before the Court is Plaintiff's motion for an extension of time. Dkt. 39. Plaintiff requests
16 additional time within which to file a response to Defendants' Answer to Plaintiff's First Amended
17 Complaint filed on June 1, 2009. Dkt. 38.

18 Defendants' Answer contains no counterclaim and the Court has not ordered that a response to the
19 Answer is required. Under these circumstances, the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure do not allow or
20 require the filing of a response to the Defendants' Answer to the Complaint in this case. *See* Fed. R. Civ.
21 P. 7. Accordingly, there is no need for the extension of time sought by Plaintiff and therefore, his motion
22 (Dkt. 38) shall be **DENIED**.

23 The Clerk is directed to send a copy of this Order to Plaintiff and to counsel for Defendants.

24 **DATED** this 15th day of June, 2009.

25
26 

27 Karen L. Strombom
28 United States Magistrate Judge